Survival Data Analysis (BIOS 7210) Breheny

Assignment 3

Due: Thursday, September 17

- 1. [KP 1.8] Consider solving for the nonparametric MLE of S(t) with an imposed constraint that for some time $b > t_1$, S(b) = c.
 - (a) Show that, under this constraint, the nonparametric MLE of S(t) is given by

$$\tilde{S}(t) = \prod_{t_j \le t} (1 - \tilde{\lambda}_j),$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_j = d_j/(n_j + a)$ if $t_j \leq b$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_j = d_j/n_j$ otherwise. The value a is chosen to satisfy the constraint. Hint: The technique of Lagrange multipliers is a useful method for solving optimization problems in the presence of constraints.

(b) Let R denote the likelihood ratio comparing the likelihood of $\tilde{S}(t)$ to the MLE $\hat{S}(t)$ that we derived in class. Show that

$$R = \prod_{t_j < b} \left(\frac{n_j}{n_j + a} \right)^{n_j} \left(\frac{n_j + a - d_j}{n_j - d_j} \right)^{n_j - d_j}$$

- (c) Consider using the likelihood ratio in (b) to construct a confidence interval for S(b) by including in the interval all values for S(b) such that R > 0.15; i.e., that have at least 15% of the likelihood of the MLE. It has been shown (Thomas and Grunkemeier, 1975) that this interval has approximate 95% coverage. Construct this interval at 9 days for the MTX+CSP group in the GVHD data and briefly compare it to the intervals we constructed in class.
- 2. Carry out a simulation to investigate the coverage of the three Kaplan-Meier confidence interval methods we discussed in class. Generate n=100 true failure times from an Exp(1) distribution and censoring times from an Exp(0.5) distribution. At time points $0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 2$, calculate the true survival function and then for each independently generated data set, calculate the three confidence intervals and record whether the CI contain the true S(t). Repeat the simulation at least N=10,000 times to get an accurate estimate of coverage.
 - (a) Plot the coverage of the three intervals as a function of time.
 - (b) Comment on the performance of the three CI methods relative to each other; which method seems to perform the best overall?
 - (c) Comment on the performance of the three CI methods over time; which times are they most/least accurate; why?
- 3. From 1974 to 1984, the Mayo Clinic conducted a study of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis of the liver. Their data is available from the survival package; see ?pbc for full details. For the purposes of this question, we will restrict ourselves to three variables:
 - time: The time until either censoring, death, or transplant (in days)

- status: What happened at the end of the patient's time on study. Either the patient's failure time was censored (0), the patient required a liver transplant (1), or the patient died (2)
- stage: A categorization of the severity of cirrhosis based on a liver biopsy. Stage 1 is the least severe, while Stage 4 denotes the most severe progression of the disease.

The outcome of interest is the time until the patient either dies or requires a liver transplant. This is often referred to as *progression-free survival*; the time that the patient is both alive and in which their health has not deteriorated to a more critical condition.

For this problem, you may use whichever CI method you like, but state which one you used.

- (a) Plot Kaplan-Meier curves, with confidence intervals displayed, for each stage of cirrhosis patient. Briefly comment on the conclusion you would draw from the figure.
- (b) Give estimates, along with confidence intervals, for 5-year progression-free survival for each stage.
- (c) Give estimates, along with confidence intervals, for the median survival time for each stage. If you are unable to provide estimates or intervals for some groups, briefly explain why.
- (d) For patients with Stage 4 cirrhosis, give an estimate and 95% CI for the 25th percentile of survival time.
- (e) For patients with Stage 4 cirrhosis, what is the estimated cumulative hazard at 3 years (using the Nelson-Aalen estimator)?