

Introduction to analytic proofs: Solutions

Patrick Breheny

September 1, 2022

Convergence

Theorem. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$. Then $x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textcircled{1} & \exists N_x : n > N_x \implies |x_n - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} & x_n \rightarrow x \\ \textcircled{2} & \exists N_y : n > N_y \implies |y_n - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} & y_n \rightarrow y \end{array}$$

Thus, for all $n > N = \max(N_x, N_y)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} |x_n + y_n - (x + y)| \leq |x_n - x| + |y_n - y| & \text{Triangle inequality} \\ < \epsilon & \textcircled{1}, \textcircled{2} \quad \square \end{array}$$

Theorem. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$. Then $x_n y_n \rightarrow xy$.

Proof. First, let's establish an identity:

$$\begin{aligned} x_n y_n - xy &= x_n y_n - x_n y + x_n y - xy \\ &= x_n (y_n - y) + y (x_n - x) \\ &= (x_n - x + x)(y_n - y) + y (x_n - x) \\ &= (x_n - x)(y_n - y) + x(y_n - y) + y(x_n - x) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textcircled{1} & \exists N_x : n > N_x \implies |x_n - x| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3|y|} & x_n \rightarrow x \\ \textcircled{2} & \exists N_y : n > N_y \implies |y_n - y| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3|x|} & y_n \rightarrow y \end{array}$$

Thus, for all $n > N = \max(N_x, N_y)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} |x_n y_n - xy| = |(x_n - x)(y_n - y) + x(y_n - y) + y(x_n - x)| & \text{Identity above} \\ \leq |x_n - x| |y_n - y| + |x| |y_n - y| + |y| |x_n - x| & \text{Triangle inequality} \\ < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} & \textcircled{1}, \textcircled{2} \\ = \epsilon & \end{array}$$

In the construction of N above, note that we are assuming $x, y \neq 0$. If either is zero, the second term in the sum can simply be omitted, as the corresponding term below is zero. \square

Theorem. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$, with $x_n \neq 0$ for all n and $x \neq 0$. Then $1/x_n \rightarrow 1/x$.

Proof. First, let us note that $|a - b| < \frac{1}{2}|b| \implies |a| > \frac{1}{2}|b|$. This is fairly obvious when you think about it; to prove it, we can break the claim up into cases:

- $b > 0$ and $a > b$: $a > b > b/2$
- $b > 0$ and $b > a$: $b - a < \frac{1}{2}b$, so $a > \frac{1}{2}b$

The cases where $b < 0$ follow the same reasoning. Now, let $\epsilon > 0$.

- | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|
| ① | $\exists N_1 : n > N_1 \implies x_n - x < \frac{1}{2} x ^2 \epsilon$ | $x_n \rightarrow x$ |
| ② | $\exists N_2 : n > N_2 \implies x_n - x < \frac{1}{2} x $ | $x_n \rightarrow x$ |
| ③ | so that $ x_n > \frac{1}{2} x $ | ②, see above |

Thus, for all $n > N = \max(N_1, N_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{x_n} - \frac{1}{x} \right| &= \left| \frac{x - x_n}{x_n x} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|x|^2} |x_n - x| && \text{③} \\ &< \epsilon && \text{①} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this third theorem, the requirement that $x_n \neq 0$ is unnecessary. As we see from ③, if $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x \neq 0$, then there is an N such that $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n > N$. □

Continuity

The first two theorems are essentially the same as their sequence counterparts, but the differences are worth paying attention to.

Theorem. Let the functions f and g be continuous at x_0 . Then $h = f + g$ is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

- | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| ① | $\exists \delta_f : x - x_0 < \delta_f \implies f(x) - f(x_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ | f continuous at x_0 |
| ② | $\exists \delta_g : x - x_0 < \delta_g \implies g(x) - g(x_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ | g continuous at x_0 |

Thus, for all $x : |x - x_0| < \delta = \min(\delta_f, \delta_g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(x_0)| &= |f(x) + g(x) - f(x_0) - g(x_0)| && \text{Def } h \\ &\leq |f(x) - f(x_0)| + |g(x) - g(x_0)| && \text{Triangle inequality} \\ &\leq \epsilon && \text{①, ②} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let the functions f and g be continuous at x_0 . Then $h = f \cdot g$ is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \exists \delta_f : |x - x_0| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3|g(x_0)|} \quad f \text{ continuous at } x_0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \exists \delta_g : |x - x_0| < \delta_g \implies |g(x) - g(x_0)| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3|f(x_0)|} \quad g \text{ continuous at } x_0$$

Thus, for all $x : |x - x_0| < \delta = \min(\delta_f, \delta_g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(x_0)| &= |f(x)g(x) - f(x_0)g(x_0)| && \text{Def } h \\ &\leq |\{f(x) - f(x_0)\}\{g(x) - g(x_0)\}| \\ &\quad + |f(x_0)\{g(x) - g(x_0)\}| \\ &\quad + |g(x_0)\{f(x) - f(x_0)\}| && \text{See earlier proof} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} && \textcircled{1}, \textcircled{2} \\ &= \epsilon && \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let the function f be continuous at x_0 and the function g be continuous at $f(x_0)$. Then $h(x) = g(f(x))$ is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \exists \eta : |y - f(x_0)| < \eta \implies |g(y) - g(f(x_0))| < \epsilon \quad g \text{ continuous at } f(x_0)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \exists \delta : |x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \eta \quad f \text{ continuous at } x_0$$

Thus, for all $x : |x - x_0| < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(x_0)| &= |g(f(x)) - g(f(x_0))| && \text{Def } h \\ &< \epsilon && \textcircled{2} \implies \textcircled{1} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Write an R function `n(eps)` that returns the smallest N for which $n > N \implies |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ for $x_n = 2^{1/n}$ and $f(x) = e^x$.

Conceptually, this is a three-part process:

1. Determine what x_n is converging to. Here, $x_n \rightarrow 1$.
2. Determine the largest value of delta that satisfies $e^{1+\delta} - e^1 < \epsilon$.
3. Determine the smallest value of N such that $2^{1/n} - 1 < \delta$.

```
n <- function(eps) {
  delta <- log(eps + exp(1)) - 1
  ceiling(1/log2(1+delta))
}
n(0.01) ## 190

# Check solution
exp(2^(1/189)) - exp(1) ## 189 not good enough
exp(2^(1/190)) - exp(1) ## 190 within 0.01
```