

# Characteristic functions

Patrick Breheny

September 23, 2024

# Introduction

- Our next few lectures will focus on transformations and their distributions
- This is of constant practical use in statistics, as many complex estimators can be written as functions of simpler statistics with known convergence properties
- Before we do that, our main goal for today is to introduce a very useful tool known as the characteristic function that in many cases, greatly simplifies proofs of convergence

# Helly-Bray Theorem

- Previously, we discussed the general conditions in which convergence in distribution implies convergence in mean (the dominated convergence theorem)
- We're going to start today by taking another look at that question, and specifically, at the question of when this is an “if and only if” situation
- The main result is summarized in the following theorem, which we will state without proof:
- **Theorem (Helly-Bray):**  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for all continuous bounded functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Remark: Traditionally, “Helly-Bray Theorem” refers only to the forward part of the theorem

# Almost everywhere

- The theorem can be extended in a few ways
- First, it doesn't have to be continuous everywhere; it can have discontinuities so long as they happen with probability zero
- **Definition:** Let  $C(g) = \{\mathbf{x} : g \text{ is continuous at } \mathbf{x}\}$  denote the continuity set of a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g$  is said to be *continuous almost everywhere* if  $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x} \in C(g)\} = 1$ .
- This idea of something happening “almost everywhere” (i.e., with probability 1) is common in statistics: for example, we might refer to convergence of  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  almost everywhere, or a function being differentiable almost everywhere

## Helly-Bray theorem, version 2

- We can now offer an alternate version of the Helly-Bray theorem
- **Theorem:**  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for all bounded measurable functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x} \in C(g)\} = 1$ .
- Note that the reverse direction of this proof now follows directly from the definition of convergence in distribution

## Closed sets

- Another way the theorem can be extended is by bounding the domain of  $g$ , as opposed to the range
- The technical condition we require is called compactness, which has an extremely abstract definition, but reduces to a very simple idea in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- First, we need to define the concepts of closed and open sets:
  - $\mathbf{x}$  is a *limit point* of a set  $A$  if for all  $\epsilon$ ,  $N_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$  contains at least one point in  $A$  other than  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - A set  $A$  is *closed* if it contains all its limit points.
  - A set  $A$  is *open* if, for all  $\mathbf{x} \in A$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \subset A$ .
- For example,  $\{x : 0 < x < 1\}$  is open (and not closed) and  $\{x : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  is closed (and not open)

# Compact sets

- Now, for the abstract topological definition of compact:
- **Definition:** A collection  $\{G_\alpha\}$  of open sets is said to be an *open cover* of the set  $A$  if  $A \subset \cup_\alpha G_\alpha$ . A set  $A$  is said to be *compact* if every open cover of  $A$  contains a finite subcover.
- Fortunately, in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the much simpler result that a set  $A$  is compact if and only if  $A$  is closed and bounded (in the sense that there exist  $L, U : L \prec \mathbf{x} \prec U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in A$ )
- For example, the set  $\{\mathbf{x} : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \text{ for all } i\}$ , where  $a_i < b_i$ , is compact
- Lastly, a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has *compact support* if there exists a compact set  $C$  such that  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \notin C$

## Why is compactness important?

Compactness is important because many important properties of continuous functions only hold when the domain is a compact set:

- $g$  continuous  $\implies g$  bounded
- $g$  continuous  $\implies$  there exist  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : g(\mathbf{a}) = \inf g(\mathbf{x}), g(\mathbf{b}) = \sup g(\mathbf{x})$  (extreme value theorem)
- $g$  continuous  $\implies g$  uniformly continuous



# Portmanteau theorem

To conclude, let's combine these statements (this is usually called the Portmanteau theorem, and can include several more equivalence conditions)

**Theorem (Portmanteau):** Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}$ .
- $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for all continuous functions  $g$  with compact support.
- $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for all continuous bounded functions  $g$ .
- $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for all bounded measurable functions  $g$  such that  $g$  is continuous almost everywhere.

# Portmanteau vs DCT

- Let's compare the Portmanteau and Dominated Convergence Theorems in terms of what we can conclude about expected values if we know that  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}$ 
  - Portmanteau:  $\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x})$  for  $g$  continuous, bounded
  - DCT: If  $\|\mathbf{x}_n\| \leq Z$  and  $\mathbb{E}Z < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathbf{x}$
- Students often ask whether one of these theorems is just a consequence of the other – the answer is no, they each say something different:
  - Portmanteau: Applies to any continuous function, but it has to be bounded
  - DCT: Applies only to  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ , but works in unbounded cases

# Characteristic functions

- In other words, with some qualifications, the argument that “moments converge, so distributions converge” is valid
- This fact is important for, say, moment generating functions; however, moment generating functions are unsatisfying because they do not always exist
- **Definition:** The *characteristic function*  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of a random variable  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\varphi(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbb{E} \exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x})$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .
- Remark: The characteristic function is the Fourier transform of the probability density (if you know what that is)

# Continuity theorem

- We'll list helpful properties of characteristic functions in a moment, but let's begin by recognizing two critical ones:
  - For any random vector  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{t})$  exists and is continuous for all  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$
  - Two random vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  have the same distribution if and only if  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \varphi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t})$
- Furthermore, since  $\exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x}) = \cos(\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x}) + i \sin(\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x})$ , we can immediately see the forward half of the following theorem (the other direction is much longer, so we're skipping it)
- **Theorem (Continuity):**  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) \rightarrow \varphi(\mathbf{t})$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

# Properties of characteristic functions

We will now list, without proof, a bunch of helpful properties of characteristic functions ( $b, \mathbf{c}$  constants,  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}$ )

- (1)  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = 1$  and  $|\varphi(\mathbf{t})| \leq 1$  for all  $\mathbf{t}$
- (2)  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}/b}(\mathbf{t}) = \varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}/b)$  for  $b \neq 0$
- (3)  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{c})\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})$
- (4)  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) = \varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t})$  if  $\mathbf{x} \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{y}$
- (5)  $\nabla\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})$  exists, is continuous, and  $\nabla\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{0}) = i\boldsymbol{\mu}$  if  $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{x}\| < \infty$
- (6)  $\nabla^2\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})$  exists, is continuous, and  $\nabla^2\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{0}) = -\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top)$  if  $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 < \infty$
- (7)  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{c})$  if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$  with probability 1
- (8)  $\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t})$  if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

## Weak law of large numbers

- As mentioned, the main reason it helps to be familiar with characteristic functions is that they often provide a very convenient way to prove otherwise difficult theorems
- For example, let's return to the weak law of large numbers, which we stated without proof in the last set of notes
- **Theorem (Weak law of large numbers):** Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots$  be independently and identically distributed random vectors such that  $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{x}\| < \infty$ . Then  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n \xrightarrow{P} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x})$ .

# Central limit theorem

- Similarly, proving the central limit theorem is equally straightforward
- **Theorem (Central limit):** Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots$  be iid random vectors with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and variance  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

- In other words,
  - A first-order Taylor series expansion of the characteristic function gives us the WLLN
  - A second-order Taylor series expansion of the characteristic function gives us the CLT
- Perhaps the two most important theorems in statistics, each with a simple four- or five-line proof!