#### The multivariate normal distribution

Patrick Breheny

September 8

### Introduction

- Today we will introduce the multivariate normal distribution and attempt to discuss its properties in a fairly thorough manner
- The multivariate normal distribution is by far the most important multivariate distribution in statistics
- It's important for all the reasons that the one-dimensional Gaussian distribution is important, but even more so in higher dimensions because many distributions that are useful in one dimension do not easily extend to the multivariate case

#### Motivation

Definition Density and MGF

- In the univariate case, the family of normal distributions can be constructed from the standard normal distribution through the location-scale transformation  $\mu + \sigma Z$ , where  $Z \sim {\rm N}(0,1)$ ; the resulting random variable has a  ${\rm N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$  distribution
- A similar approach can be taken with the multivariate normal distribution, although some care needs to be taken with regard to whether the resulting variance is singular or not

Definition Density and MGF

# Standard normal

- First, the easy case: if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_r$  are mutually independent and each follows a standard normal distribution, the random vector  $\mathbf{z}$  is said to follow an r-variate standard normal distribution, denoted  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_r)$
- Remark: For multivariate normal distributions and identity matrices, I will usually leave off the subscript from now on when it is either unimportant or able to be figured out from context
- If  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathrm{N}_r(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})$ , its density is

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = (2\pi)^{-r/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^{\top}\mathbf{z}\}$$

Definition Density and MGF

#### Multivariate normal distribution

- Definition: Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a  $d \times 1$  random vector with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ , where  $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = r > 0$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  be a  $r \times d$  matrix such that  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to have a *d*-variate normal distribution of rank r if its distribution is the same as that of the random vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \mathbf{z}$ , where  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ .
- This is typically denoted  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$

Definition Density and MGF

## Density

• Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and that  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is full rank; then  $\mathbf{x}$  has a density:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\},\$$

where  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$ 

• We will not really concern ourselves with determinants and their properties in this course, although it is worth pointing out that if  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  $|\Sigma| = 0$  and the above result does not hold (or even make sense)

# Singular case

Definition Density and MGF

- In fact, if  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  ${f x}$  does not even *have* a density
- This is connected to our earlier discussion of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem: if  $\Sigma$  is singular, then the distribution of x has a singular component (i.e., x is not absolutely continuous)
- This is the reason why the definition of the MVN might seem somewhat roundabout we can't just say that the random variable has a certain density, but must instead say that it has the same distribution as  $\mu + \Gamma^{\top} z$ , where z has a well-defined density

Definition Density and MGF

# Moment generating function

- For this reason, when working with multivariate normal distributions or showing that some random variable y follows a multivariate normal distribution, it is often easier to work with moment generating functions or characteristic functions, which are well-defined even if  $\Sigma$  is singular
- If  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , then its moment generating function is

$$m(\mathbf{t}) = \exp\{\mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t}\},\$$

where  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• We'll come back to its characteristic function in a future lecture

#### Independence

- Before moving on, let us note that there is a connection between covariance and independence in the multivariate normal distribution
- Theorem: Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . If  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2]^\top$  and the corresponding off-diagonal of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$  is zero, then  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are independent.

Density and MGF

• In particular, if  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix, then  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are mutually independent

Definition Density and MGF

# Independence (caution)

- It is worth pointing out a common mistake here:  $\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = 0 \implies X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$  only if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *multivariate normal*
- For example, suppose  $X \sim N(0,1)$  and  $Y = \pm X,$  each with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$
- X and Y are both normally distributed, and Cov(X, Y) = 0, but they are clearly not independent

#### Main result

Linear combinations Quadratic forms

- A very important property of the multivariate normal distribution is that its linear combinations are also normally distributed
- Theorem: Let b be a  $k \times 1$  vector of constants, B a  $k \times d$  matrix of constants, and  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Then

 $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} \sim N_k (\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}).$ 

#### Linear combinations Quadratic forms

# Corollary

- A useful corollary of this result is that we can always "standardize" a variable with an MVN distribution
- Let's consider the full-rank case first (i.e.,  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular and positive definite, and so is  $\Sigma^{-1})$
- Corollary: Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Then

$$\Sigma^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim N_d(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}$  is the square root of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ .

Linear combinations Quadratic forms

#### Corollary: Low rank case

- If  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  does not exist, although we can still standardize the distribution
- Corollary: Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is rank r with  $\Gamma^{\top} \Gamma = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Then

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\top})^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim N_r(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}).$$

#### Main result

Quadratic forms

- In the univariate case, if  $Z\sim {\rm N}(0,1),$  then  $Z^2$  follows a distribution known as the  $\chi^2$  distribution
- Furthermore, if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  are mutually independent and each  $Z_i \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $\sum_i Z_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ , where  $\chi_n^2$  denotes the  $\chi^2$  distribution with n degrees of freedom
- Thus, it is a straightforward consequence of our previous corollaries that if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is nonsingular,

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_d^2$$

Linear combinations Quadratic forms

## Main result (low rank)

• Similarly, it is always the case that if  $\mathbf{x}\sim N_d(\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  with  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\scriptscriptstyle \top}\boldsymbol{\Gamma},$  then

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_r^2,$$

where r is the rank of  ${\bf \Sigma}$  and

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{ op} (\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{ op})^{-1} (\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{ op})^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}$$

• As discussed in our review last time,  $\Sigma^-$  is a quantity known as a *generalized inverse*, which you'll learn more about in the linear models course

Linear combinations Quadratic forms

#### Non-central chi square distribution

- If  $\mu \neq 0$ , then the quadratic form follows something called a non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution
- If  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n \stackrel{\mu}{\sim} N(\mu_i, 1)$ , then the distribution of  $\sum_i Z_i^2$  is known as the noncentral  $\chi_n^2$  distribution with noncentrality parameter  $\sum_i \mu_i^2$
- Thus, if  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_d^2(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

or

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{-}} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_r^2 (\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{-}} \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

if  $\Sigma$  is singular

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

# Marginal distributions

• Finally, let us consider some results related to partitions of the multivariate normal distribution:

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{array} 
ight], \quad oldsymbol{\mu} = \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{\mu}_1 \ oldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{array} 
ight], \quad oldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \ oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{array} 
ight]$$

• Conveniently, the marginal distributions are exactly what you would intuitively think they should be:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$$

## Conditional

 A more complicated question: what is the distribution of x<sub>1</sub> given x<sub>2</sub>?

Conditional distributions

• This gets messy if  $\Sigma$  is singular, but if  $\Sigma$  is full rank, then

$$\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 \sim \mathrm{N}\left( \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} 
ight)$$

• As mentioned earlier, note that if  $\Sigma_{12} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are independent and  $\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$ ;

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

## Schur complement

- The quantity  $\Sigma_{11} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$  is known in linear algebra as the *Schur complement*; it comes up all the time in statistics and we will see it repeatedly in this course
- It is the **inverse** of the (1,1) block of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ ; more explicitly, letting  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{11}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$$

• Conceptually, it represents the reduction in the variability of  $x_1$  that we achieve by learning  $x_2$  (or equivalently, the increase in our uncertainty about  $x_1$  if we don't know  $x_2$ )

### Precision matrix

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

- The inverse of the covariance matrix,  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ , is known as the *precision matrix* and is a rather interesting quantity in its own right
- In fact, many statistical procedures are more concerned with estimating  $\Theta$  than  $\Sigma$
- One key reason for this is that ⊖ encodes conditional independence relationships that are often of interest in learning the structure of x in terms of which how variables are related to each other

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

#### Conditional independence result

- Suppose we partition x into  $x_1$ , containing two variables of interest, and  $x_2$  containing the remaining variables
- Then by the results we've obtained already, if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2$  is multivariate normal with covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{11}^{-1}$
- Thus, if any off-diagonal element of Θ is zero, then the corresponding variables are conditionally independent given the remaining variables
- This is of interest in many statistical problems

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

# Example

- For example, suppose  $X \to Y \to Z$ ; we could simulate this with, for example,
  - x <- rnorm(n)
  - y <-x + rnorm(n)
  - z <- y + rnorm(n)
- Note that  $\hat{\Sigma}_{xz}$  is not close to zero at all; X and Z are not independent and are, in fact, rather highly correlated
- However,  $\hat{\Theta}_{xz} \approx 0$ ; X and Z are conditionally independent given Y

# Application

Marginal distributions Conditional distributions Precision matrix

- One application of this idea is in learning gene regulatory networks
- Suppose the expression levels of various genes follow a multivariate normal distribution (at least approximately)
- Learning which elements of  $\Theta$  are nonzero corresponds to learning which pairs of genes have a direct relationship with one another, as opposed to being merely correlated through the effects of other genes that affect them both