

# Some final thoughts/comments

Patrick Breheny

May 3

# The canonical problem

- The basic issue we have looked at in this course is: how does  $X$  affect  $Y$ ?
  - How does a drug affect the lung function of cystic fibrosis patients?
  - How does lead exposure affect neurological development?
  - How does vaccination affect polio risk?
- As we have seen, the way to address that question and estimate the effect of  $X$  on  $Y$  depends on the nature of how  $X$  and  $Y$  are measured (i.e., categorical vs. continuous)

# A grid

We can put the analyses we have covered in a grid:

| Groups ( $X$ ) | Outcome ( $Y$ )  |                                      |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|
|                | Continuous   | Categorical                          |
| 1              | One-sample (paired) $t$ -test<br>Wilcoxon signed rank test                                 | $z$ -test<br>Binomial test           |
| 2              | Two-sample $t$ -test: Student's<br>Two-sample $t$ -test: Welch's<br>Wilcoxon rank sum test | $\chi^2$ test<br>Fisher's exact test |
| 3+             | ANOVA  | $\chi^2$ test<br>Fisher's exact test |
| Continuous     | Linear regression  | Logistic regression                  |

A few comments on the preceding grid:

- The grid gives the name of tests – keep in mind that each test has an associated method for estimating a confidence interval for the effect of  $X$  on  $Y$
- I didn't have room to include it on the slide, but time-to-event outcomes are another important category, and of course have their own methods (Kaplan-Meier curves, log-rank tests, Cox regression) that depend on the nature of  $X$
- Obviously, we did not cover all boxes in the grid in equal depth:
  - We did not cover logistic regression at all – I'm just including it for the sake of completion
  - Conversely, we spent a lot of time on 1- and 2-sample studies
- As a consequence, I recommend focusing your studying efforts for the final on the top two rows of the grid

# Final: Points breakdown

- The approximate distribution of points to topics on the final is as follows:
  - 60 points: 1- and 2-sample studies
  - 20 points: New topics since quiz 4 (ANOVA, multiple comparisons, time-to-event data)
  - 20 points: Assorted topics from the rest of the course
- “Assorted topics” will focus on main ideas (population vs. sample,  $p$ -values vs. confidence intervals, central limit theorem, probability, etc.) that recurred throughout the course

# Reflecting on course goals

- Think statistically – to understand the importance of collecting data and using appropriate statistical methods in order to test hypotheses, estimate unknown quantities, and conduct research
- Analyze data using basic statistical methods
- Recognize the strengths and limitations of those methods
- Better comprehend journal articles containing statistical analyses
- Have the necessary background to enroll in BIOS:5120

Some main ideas from the course as a whole that I want to re-emphasize:

- Think about the study design: Was the study a controlled experiment or an observational study? What population did the sample(s) come from? Is it possible for hidden bias/confounding to explain the results?
- Look at your data: When conducting a study, look at graphs and observe trends, outliers, patterns; don't jump straight to the analysis

- Keep in mind that  $p$ -values, although they seem seductively easy to interpret, have some limitations that often lead to over-interpretation:
  - They strongly depend on sample size, and tests can be highly significant analyses even when the effect size is small
  - A non-significant  $p$ -value *does not* mean that there is any evidence that the null hypothesis is true
  - They are distorted by multiple comparisons
- Confidence intervals do not have these problems, and provide more information than  $p$ -values, but you do need to pay attention to what it's a confidence interval *for* (a ratio? a difference? a proportion?)