

# The multivariate normal distribution

Patrick Breheny

September 6

# Introduction

- Today we will introduce the multivariate normal distribution and attempt to discuss its properties in a fairly thorough manner
- The multivariate normal distribution is by far the most important multivariate distribution in statistics
- It's important for all the reasons that the one-dimensional Gaussian distribution is important, but even more so in higher dimensions because many distributions that are useful in one dimension do not easily extend to the multivariate case

# Motivation

- In the univariate case, the family of normal distributions can be constructed from the standard normal distribution through the location-scale transformation  $\mu + \sigma Z$ , where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ; the resulting random variable has a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution
- A similar approach can be taken with the multivariate normal distribution, although some care needs to be taken with regard to whether the resulting variance is singular or not

## Standard normal

- First, the easy case: if  $Z_1, \dots, Z_r$  are mutually independent and each follows a standard normal distribution, the random vector  $\mathbf{z}$  is said to follow an  $r$ -variate standard normal distribution, denoted  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_r)$
- Remark: For multivariate normal distributions and identity matrices, I will usually leave off the subscript from now on when it is either unimportant or able to be figured out from context
- If  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ , its density is

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = (2\pi)^{-r/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{z}\right\}$$

# Multivariate possibilities

- Like the univariate case, we can construct multivariate distributions through linear combinations
- Before we define the multivariate normal distribution, however, note that there is no guarantee that the dimension remains the same in such a transformation:
  - Suppose  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$
  - The dimension could decrease:  $x_1 = z_1 + 2z_3, x_2 = -z_2$
  - Or increase:

$$x_1 = z_1 + 2z_2$$

$$x_2 = z_1 - z_2$$

$$x_3 = z_2 - z_3$$

$$x_4 = z_1 + z_2 + z_3$$

# Multivariate normal distribution

- **Definition:** Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a  $d \times 1$  random vector with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ , where  $\text{rank}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = r > 0$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  be a  $r \times d$  matrix such that  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^\top \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to have a *d-variate normal distribution of rank r* if its distribution is the same as that of the random vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^\top \mathbf{z}$ , where  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ .
- This is typically denoted  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

# Density

- Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and that  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is full rank; then  $\mathbf{x}$  has a density:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\},$$

where  $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|$  denotes the determinant of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

- We will not really concern ourselves with determinants and their properties in this course, although it is worth pointing out that if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is singular, then  $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| = 0$  and the above result does not hold (or even make sense)

## Singular case

- In fact, if  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  $\mathbf{x}$  does not even *have* a density
- This is connected to our earlier discussion of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem: if  $\Sigma$  is singular, then the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}$  has a singular component (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}$  is not absolutely continuous)
- This is the reason why the definition of the MVN might seem somewhat roundabout – we can't just say that the random variable has a certain density, but must instead say that it has the same distribution as  $\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{\Gamma}^\top \mathbf{z}$ , where  $\mathbf{z}$  has a well-defined density

# Moment generating function

- For this reason, when working with multivariate normal distributions or showing that some random variable  $\mathbf{y}$  follows a multivariate normal distribution, it is often easier to work with moment generating functions or characteristic functions, which are well-defined even if  $\Sigma$  is singular
- If  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , then its moment generating function is

$$m(\mathbf{t}) = \exp\{\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t}\},$$

where  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- We'll come back to its characteristic function in a future lecture

## Partitioned matrices

- The concept of partitioning a matrix will come up often
- The idea of a partitioned matrix is to think of a large matrix as a collection of smaller submatrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 5 & 6 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

can be partitioned into four  $2 \times 2$  blocks

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \mathbf{A}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{A}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots$$

## Transposing partitioned matrices

- The transpose of a partitioned matrix is

$$\mathbf{A}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11}^T & \mathbf{A}_{21}^T \\ \mathbf{A}_{12}^T & \mathbf{A}_{22}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- Note that if  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric, as in the case of a covariance matrix or matrix of second derivatives, then

$$\mathbf{A}_{12}^T = \mathbf{A}_{21}$$

# Independence

- Before moving on, let us note that there is a connection between covariance and independence in the multivariate normal distribution
- **Theorem:** Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . If  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2]^\top$  and the corresponding off-diagonal of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$  is zero, then  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are independent.
- In particular, if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is a diagonal matrix, then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are mutually independent

## Independence (caution)

- It is worth pointing out a common mistake here:  
 $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = 0 \implies X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$  only if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *multivariate normal*
- For example, suppose  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y = \pm X$ , each with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$
- $X$  and  $Y$  are both normally distributed, and  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ , but they are clearly not independent

## Main result

- A very important property of the multivariate normal distribution is that its linear combinations are also normally distributed
- **Theorem:** Let  $\mathbf{b}$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector of constants,  $\mathbf{B}$  a  $k \times d$  matrix of constants, and  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Then

$$\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} \sim N_k(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^\top).$$

## Corollary

- A useful corollary of this result is that we can always “standardize” a variable with an MVN distribution
- Let’s consider the full-rank case first (i.e.,  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular and positive definite, and so is  $\Sigma^{-1}$ )
- **Corollary:** Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ . Then

$$\Sigma^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim N_d(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}),$$

where  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  is the square root of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ .

## Corollary: Low rank case

- If  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  does not exist, although we can still standardize the distribution
- **Corollary:** Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is rank  $r$  with  $\Gamma^\top \Gamma = \Sigma$ . Then

$$(\Gamma\Gamma^\top)^{-1}\Gamma(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim N_r(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}).$$

## Main result

- In the univariate case, if  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $Z^2$  follows a distribution known as the  $\chi^2$  distribution
- Furthermore, if  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are mutually independent and each  $Z_i \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $\sum_i Z_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ , where  $\chi_n^2$  denotes the  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $n$  degrees of freedom
- Thus, it is a straightforward consequence of our previous corollaries that if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  and  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular,

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_d^2$$

## Main result (low rank)

- Similarly, it is always the case that if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  with  $\Sigma = \mathbf{\Gamma}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}$ , then

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{-} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_r^2,$$

where  $r$  is the rank of  $\Sigma$  and

$$\Sigma^{-} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^\top (\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}^\top)^{-1} (\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}$$

- As discussed in our review last time,  $\Sigma^{-}$  is a quantity known as a *generalized inverse*, which you'll learn more about in the linear models course

## Non-central chi square distribution

- If  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then the quadratic form follows something called a non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution
- If  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\mu_i, 1)$ , then the distribution of  $\sum_i Z_i^2$  is known as the noncentral  $\chi_n^2$  distribution with noncentrality parameter  $\sum_i \mu_i^2$
- Thus, if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_d^2(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

or

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-} \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_r^2(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-} \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is singular

# Marginal distributions

- Finally, let us consider some results related to partitions of the multivariate normal distribution:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Conveniently, the marginal distributions are exactly what you would intuitively think they should be:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$$

# Conditional

- A more complicated question: what is the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  given  $\mathbf{x}_2$ ?
- This gets messy if  $\Sigma$  is singular, but if  $\Sigma$  is full rank, then

$$\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 \sim N\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}\right)$$

- As mentioned earlier, note that if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are independent and  $\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$ ;

# Schur complement

- The quantity  $\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$  is known in linear algebra as the *Schur complement*; it comes up all the time in statistics and we will see it repeatedly in this course
- It is the **inverse** of the (1, 1) block of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ ; more explicitly, letting  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ ,

$$\Theta_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$$

- Conceptually, it represents the reduction in the variability of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  that we achieve by learning  $\mathbf{x}_2$  (or equivalently, the increase in our uncertainty about  $\mathbf{x}_1$  if we don't know  $\mathbf{x}_2$ )

# Precision matrix

- The inverse of the covariance matrix,  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ , is known as the *precision matrix* and is a rather interesting quantity in its own right
- In fact, many statistical procedures are more concerned with estimating  $\Theta$  than  $\Sigma$
- One key reason for this is that  $\Theta$  encodes conditional independence relationships that are often of interest in learning the structure of  $\mathbf{x}$  in terms of which how variables are related to each other

## Conditional independence result

- Suppose we partition  $\mathbf{x}$  into  $\mathbf{x}_1$ , containing two variables of interest, and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  containing the remaining variables
- Then by the results we've obtained already, if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2$  is multivariate normal with covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{11}^{-1}$
- Thus, if any off-diagonal element of  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$  is zero, then the corresponding variables are conditionally independent given the remaining variables
- This is of interest in many statistical problems

Example:  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ 

```
n <- 100000
x <- rnorm(n)
y <- x + rnorm(n)
z <- y + rnorm(n)
cor(cbind(x, y, z))
#           x           y           z
# x 1.0000000 0.7065722 0.5763312
# y 0.7065722 1.0000000 0.8173433
# z 0.5763312 0.8173433 1.0000000
cor(cbind(x, y, z)) |> solve()
#           x           y           z
# x 1.996998254 -1.416830  0.007104064
# y -1.416829854  4.017715 -2.467289446
# z  0.007104064 -2.467289  3.012528287
```

# Application

- One application of this idea is in learning gene regulatory networks
- Suppose the expression levels of various genes follow a multivariate normal distribution (at least approximately)
- Learning which elements of  $\Theta$  are nonzero corresponds to learning which pairs of genes have a direct relationship with one another, as opposed to being merely correlated through the effects of other genes that affect them both