## Likelihood Theory and Extensions (BIOS:7110) Breheny

Assignment 11
Due: Wednesday, November 29

1. Newton's method and iteratively weighted least squares. This problem explores the relationship between Newton's method and weighted least squares.
(a) Suppose $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{V})$, where $\mathbf{V}$ is a known positive definite variance-covariance matrix and $\mathbf{X}$ is an $n \times d$ design matrix. Derive the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.
(b) As we have seen in a previous assignment, any (regular) likelihood can be approximated by that of a normal distribution. In the specific context of a GLM, where the likelihood of $y_{i}$ depends on a linear predictor $\eta_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, taking a Taylor series approximation about $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\mathbf{X} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ yields expressions of the form

$$
\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \approx-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) ;
$$

derive $\tilde{y}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ in the specific context of logistic regression.
(c) In class, we derived the Newton update

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu}),
$$

by taking a first-order approximation to the score function about $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ (recall that $\mathbf{W}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ were evaluated at $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ ). Suppose we instead take the second-order Taylor series expansion of the $\log$ likelihood as in (b), then solve for the MLE using our result from (a). Show that this MLE of the approximate likelihood is equal to the Newton update. Note: You can certainly start from scratch, but this is literally one line if you use the results from (a) and (b).
(d) Code your own function to solve for the logistic regression MLE. I should be able to call the function as $\operatorname{logistic}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{y}$ ), where X is the design matrix and y the vector of responses. It should return the vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and nothing else. Note that you have already done the math for logistic regression in an earlier assignment.
2. Conditional score. As we derived in class, given two independent binomial distributions $X \sim$ $\operatorname{Binom}\left(n_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $Y \sim \operatorname{Binom}\left(n_{2}, \pi_{2}\right)$, the conditional likelihood given $T=X+Y$ is

$$
L(\theta)=\frac{\binom{n_{1}}{x}\binom{n_{2}}{t-x} \theta^{x}}{\sum_{s=0}^{t}\binom{n_{1}}{s}\binom{n_{2}}{t-s} \theta^{s}},
$$

where

$$
\theta=\frac{\pi_{1} /\left(1-\pi_{1}\right)}{\pi_{2} /\left(1-\pi_{2}\right)}
$$

denotes the odds ratio. Show that the score test statistic of $H_{0}: \theta=1$ is

$$
z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\sigma$ denote the mean and standard deviation of the ( $\left.n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)$ hypergeometric distribution, and $z \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ under the null. Note: Vandermonde's identity states that

$$
\binom{m+n}{r}=\sum_{k=0}^{r}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n}{r-k} .
$$

3. Conditional logistic regression. Suppose we have pairs of binary outcomes $Y_{i 1}, Y_{i 2}$ and we wish to fit the probability model

$$
\log \frac{\pi_{i j}}{1-\pi_{i j}}=\alpha_{i}+\mathbf{x}_{i j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta},
$$

where $\pi_{i j}=\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{i j}=1\right)$; in other words, each pair has its own intercept, but we assume a common effect of the covariates $\mathbf{x}$. Given $Y_{i 1}+Y_{i 2}=1$ (other pairs contain no information about $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ), the conditional likelihood for the $i$ th pair (this is a slight extension of what we went over in class) is

$$
L_{i}(\beta)=\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i}: \mathbf{w}_{i}=1} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)},
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{i}=\sum_{j} y_{i j} \mathbf{x}_{i j}, \mathbf{u}_{i}=\sum_{j} Y_{i j} \mathbf{x}_{i j}$, and $w_{i}=\sum_{j} Y_{i j}$. Here, I am using $Y_{i j}$ to denote potential values and $y_{i j}$ to denote the observed values.
(a) Show that the conditional likelihood for a single pair can be written

$$
L_{i}=\frac{e^{\eta_{i}}}{1+e^{\eta_{i}}},
$$

where $\eta_{i}=\Delta_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, with $\Delta_{i}$ denoting the difference in covariate values between the observation with $Y=1$ and the observation with $Y=0$.
(b) Derive the score vector. Hint: Use the chain rule, first taking $\partial \ell / \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}$, then $\partial \boldsymbol{\eta} / \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}$.
(c) Derive the information matrix and show that it can be written $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ are matrices that you must derive.
(d) Suppose we have the following (simulated) data:

```
n <- 400
a <- rnorm(n)
X <- array(rnorm(n*2*3), dim=c(n, 2, 3))
b <- c(1, 0.5, 0)
Y <- cbind(rbinom(n, 1, binomial()$linkinv(a + X[,1,] %*% b)),
    rbinom(n, 1, binomial()$linkinv(a + X[,2,] %*% b)))
```

Note that Y is an $n \times 2$ matrix of paired observations, while X is a $n \times 2 \times d$ array of covariate values, where $d$ is the number of covariates. Write a function, paired_logistic(), that fits the model, returning the coefficients as well as the information matrix (anything else you want to return is optional). The function should return this list as an S3 object of class paired_logistic; i.e., the final line of the function should look like:

```
return(structure(list(beta=beta, Info=Info), class='paired_logistic'))
```

The reason for this will become apparent in the next part of the problem.
(e) Write a function, summary.paired_logistic() that accepts the output of the function from part (d) and carries out Wald tests. In other words, you should be able to run the code

```
fit <- paired_logistic(X, Y)
summary(fit)
```

with X and Y organized as in part (d), and see a summary table like one typically sees when fitting models in R, with columns for the coefficient estimates, standard errors, test statistics, and $p$-values.

